

## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER FILE	IG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY GOOK STIND	
07/621,092	11/26/90	KAUFMAN	R 9206	
			ERAMINER	
LEGAL AFFAIRS			WANG, G	
GENETICS II 87 CAMBRID			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CAMBRIDGE,		1812		
			DATE MAILEU:	01/17/92
This sia communicate, their the own: : OMMISSIONER UP PATENTS AND	n nun in onanga of yaur subtic	acon.		~
₩	. 15/	o communication filed on 12-	9-91 -	
/	/ '	2	_	
A shortened statutory period for responding to respond within the period for			days from the c	DENO OF UTILS HOUTER.
Part 1 THE FOLLOWING ATTACH	MENT(S) ARE PART O	F THIS ACTION:		
1. Notice of References Cited by Examiner, PTO-892.				
3. Notice of Art Cited by Applicant, PTO-1449.  4. Notice of Informal Patent Application, Form PTO-152				
5. Information on How to Eff	ect Drawing Changes, P	TO-1474. 6. 🔲		•
Pert II SUMMARY OF ACTION	<b></b>	. '		
1. Claims /-	13	·	are	pending in the application.
Of the above, clain	ns /-/0		are with	drawn from consideration.
2. Claims			hav	e been cancelled.
3. Claims	·		are	allowed.
4. 🔽 Claims //	-23		are	rejected.
5. Claims				objected to.
				•
6. L Claims are subject to restriction or election requirement.				
7. This application has been	filed with informal drawin	egs under 37 C.F.R. 1.85 which are	acceptable for examination	on purposes.
8. Formal drawings are requi	red in response to this C	office action.		
9. The corrected or substitute are acceptable; are	•	ceived on nation or Notice re Patent Drawing,		F.R. 1.84 these drawings
10. The proposed additional of examiner; disapproved		rawings, filed onxplanation).	. has (have) been 🔲 ap	oproved by the
11. The proposed drawing correction, filed has been . 🗀 approved; 🚨 disapproved (see explanation).				
12. Acknowledgement is made of the claim for priority under .U.S.C119. The certified copy has been received not been received been filed in parent application, serial no				
		er allowance except for formal matte e, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.	ers, prosecution as to the r	merits is closed in
14 Cither				

... EXAMINER'S ACTION

PTOL-926 (Rev.9-89)

Gerial No. 27621092 Art Unit 1812

The Group and/or Art Unit location of your application in the PTC has changed. To aid in correlating any papers for this application, all further correspondence regarding this application should be directed to Group Art Unit 1812.

Applicant's election of group II, claims 11-23 in Paper No. 16 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (M.P.E.P. § 818.03(a)).

Claims 1-10 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 C.F.R. § 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention. Election was made without traverse in Paper No. 16.

Claims 11-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The claims noted <u>supra</u> are vague and indefinite in the recitation of "PACE" in claim 11, line 2 and claim 19, line 3. The abbreviation of "PACE" should be spelled out in a complete phrase at the first appearance in the claim because it could stand for subject matter which may not be related to the claimed invention.

The claims noted supra are vague and indefinite in the recitation of "a nucleotide sequence" in claim 11, line 2 and lines 5-6; in claim 19, line 2 and lines 5-6; and the resitation

Serial No. 37621092 Art Unit 1812

of "the nucleotide sequence" in claim 11, lines 4-5 and lines 8-9; in claim 19, lines 4-5 and lines 8-9; and the recitation of "a DNA sequence" in claim 11, lines 1-2 and line 5; in claim 19, lines 1-2 and line 5 because it is confusing to use the came terminology for different identities of DNA solecules.

Claims 11-18 are vague and indefinite in the recitation of "a DNA sequence" in claim 11, lines 1-2 and line 5 because it is confusing whether these two DNA sequences are in a recombinant DNA molecule or separate DNA molecule.

The claims noted <u>supra</u> are vague and indefinite in the recitation of "the precursor" in claim 1, line 6 and claim 19, line 6 because of the lack of antecedent basis.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103 which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Subject matter developed by another person, which qualifies as prior art only under subsection (f) or (g) of section 122 of this title, shall not preclude patentability under this section where the subject matter and the claimed invention were, at the time the invention was made, owned by the same person or subject to an obligation of assignment to the same person.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. § 103, the examiner precumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions severed

Serial No. 27621092 Art Unit 1012

therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under C7 C.F.R. S 1.55 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of potential 35 U.S.C. S 102(f) or (g) prior art under C5 U.S.C. S 103.

Claims 11-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 5 163 as being unpatentable over van den Cuweland et al. in view of Kaufman et al. or Magen et al..

van den Cuveland et al. disclose a mammalian procursor protein processing enzyme which has 100 % homology to the sequence of a paired bosic amino acid cleaving enzyme (PACE) as indicated in claims 11 and 19. Kaufman et al. disclose high yield production of active factor IX in a Chinese Hamster Ovary (CHO) cell line transfected with factor IX cDNA in medium containing vitamin K to produce biologically active coagulation proteing and plasma proteins containing gammacarboxyglutamic acid (see claims 1-6, and column 2). Hagen et al. disclose methods for producing proteins which require gammacarboxylation for biological activity for blood coagulation mediated by factor VII in mammalian cells transfected with a recombinant DNA molecule containing the first nuclectide sequence of factor VII with a calcium binding domain and the second nucleotide dequence with a serine protease activity (see claims 1-32 and solumns 7-8). Thus, with van den Guweland et al. providing the motivation of a mammalian precursor protein processing enzyme with cleaving activity at the paired basic umine acid sequences, and with Kaufman et al. or Hagen et al.

Serial No. 07621002 Art Unit 1812

providing mothods for expression of factor VII or factor IN in mammalian cells transfected with a recombinant DNA molecule to produce biologically active proteins by cleaving paired basic amino acid sequences, it would have been obvious at the time of the invention to one of ordinary skill in the art to obtain the claimed invention in the instant application as suggested by the prior art. Therefore, the invention as a whole was prime facie obvious in the absence of evidence to the contrary.

No claim is allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Gian Wang, Ph.D. whose telephone number is (703) 308-3993.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-2196.

Gian Wang January 8, 1992 DAVID L. LACEY SUPERVISOR PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 1894/872